

teamigent™

AI FEEDBACK & EVALUATION ASSISTANT

Better resident feedback, with less faculty time.

The challenges to faculty feedback	What it costs
Too few evaluations, often late	ACGME survey scores fall; citation risk
Generic praise, no direction	Residents don’t know how to improve
Written from memory, details lost	Concerns surface late; residents feel blindsided
Little time or support to observe	Milestone ratings lack real evidence


Many programs struggle to get consistent feedback across faculty and departments. What does it look like in yours?

Email us at clients@teamigent.com, and we’ll share what has worked at other programs.

teamigent™

FEEDBACK & EVALUATION AI ASSISTANT

How it works: by text or voice.




1 **Prompts**
Text reminders on service days

2 **Captures**
Voice, text or photo, after rounds

3 **Sharpens**
Clearer, more specific, still your words

4 **Preps the talk**
Frames hard conversations

5 **Drafts the eval**
Summaries to edit, then paste in



UCSF SCHOOL OF MEDICINE · AMA CHANGEMEDED

AMA-sponsored study: preliminary results

282
faculty enrolled, inpatient and outpatient

86%
less effort on written feedback

84%
say its output is accurate

8.4/10
faculty rating

"I went from having 60 evaluations in my queue to zero."
UCSF faculty member

- ✓ Co-developed with UCSF faculty educators
- ✓ Live in 7 departments, inpatient and outpatient
- ✓ Meets UCSF security and privacy standards for trainee data

Try it free on your phone
Text it a note about a trainee.
teamigent.com/try

Start a pilot
Email us to learn more:
clients@teamigent.com

No patient information; trainee data only · Drafts you edit, then paste into MedHub, New Innovations, OASIS, or whatever system you use.

Preliminary results, Chia & Schwartz, UCSF, APDIM 2026 · © 2026 SnapTeam Co.